# TAB 7

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                IN AND FOR DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
2
    WILLIE DAVIS, JR.,
    NATHANIEL BRIDDELL,
    GEORGE W. FEDDIMAN,
4
    JOSEPH GARRISON,
    ROY H. WALTERS,
5
    ALL SIMILARLY-SITUATED CURRENT
    AND FORMER EMPLOYEES OF
6
    MOUNTAIRE FARMS, INC.,
    MOUNTAIRE FARMS OF DELMARVA,
7
    INC., and MOUNTAIRE FARMS
    OF DELAWARE, INC.,
8
                   Plaintiffs,
                                        C.A. No. 04-0414-KAJ
9
          - vs -
    MOUNTAIRE FARMS, INC.,
10
     MOUNTAIRE FARMS OF
     DELMARVA, INC., and
11
     MOUNTAIRE FARMS OF
     DELAWARE, INC., all Delaware
12
     corporations,
                    Defendants.
13
              Deposition of ROY H. WALTERS, taken before
14
     Pamela C. Washington, Registered Professional Reporter
     and Notary Public, at the law offices of Young,
15
     Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor, 110 West Pine Street,
     Georgetown, Delaware, on February 15, 2005, beginning
16
     at 10:00 a.m.
17
     APPEARANCES:
18
          On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
19
               Margolis Edelstein
               BY: JEFFREY K. MARTIN, ESQ.
20
               and KERI WILLIAMS, ESQ.
               1509 Gilpin Avenue
2.1
               Wilmington, Delaware 19806
22
          On behalf of the Defendant:
               Shawe Rosenthal
23
               BY: ARTHUR M. BREWER, ESQ. and LAURA A. PIERSON SCHEINBERG, ESQ.
24
               20 South Charles Street, 11th Floor
               Baltimore, Maryland 21201
25
```

#### Walters - Brewer

```
Just the training that you mentioned?
               0
1
2
               A
                    Yes.
                    Did your son consider that to be a
3
               Q
    promotion?
4
                    I couldn't relate to that one.
5
                    You couldn't relate to that? I know,
               0
6
    because you didn't --
7
                    No.
8
               A
                    All right, all right. Let's take a
 9
               Q
     look at this would be Number 2, I guess, to
10
     Mr. Walters' deposition.
11
                     (Walters Exhibit 2, marked for
12
     identification.)
13
     BY MR. BREWER:
14
                     Mr. Walters, this has been marked as
15
     Exhibit 2 to your deposition, this is an employee
16
17
     warning notice --
                     Uh-huh.
18
                Α
                     -- to a Mr. Leon Tucker.
19
                Q
                     Yes.
20
                Α
                     And I believe we have identified him as
21
     being a member of your crew?
22
                Α
                     Yes.
23
                     Do you recognize the writing on this
24
25
     page?
```

• •		
e.	1	A Yes.
C	2	Q Whose writing is it?
	3	A Mine.
	4	Q Okay. Company policy, this is your
	5	writing, it says "Call if not working"?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And we had just discussed something
	8	like this; he did not call and didn't show up for
	9	work, I see, is that correct?
	10	A That's correct.
	11	Q And you gave him three days off as a
	12	final warning?
$C\Delta$	13	A Yes.
$\Box$	14	Q That's your signature?
	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Okay. Mr. Richard Satchell, I believe
	17	he's also one of the gentlemen we mentioned as being
	18	on your crew
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q as a catcher?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q And again, the company policy, it says,
	23	"Call if not working. No time to find someone; call
	24	late after 10 p.m." and the violation was he called in
	25	too late?

	1	A Yes.
(	2	Q He signed this and you signed it?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Okay. And the last one is a Bernie
	5	Johnson?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q His name is not familiar to me; do you
	8	know him?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q How do you know him?
	11	A He was a catcher in my crew.
	12	Q Okay. And the company policy, it says,
$\stackrel{\cdot}{\longleftrightarrow}$	13	"Notify supervisor if not working." That is you that
	14	he should have notified?
	15	A Yes.
	16	Q And the violation is, "Said he was
	17	driving to farm but did not show." Okay, and he's
	18	given an oral warning?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q And then it says, "None taking at this
	21	time," is that your writing?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q And that's your signature on the
	24	bottom?
	25	A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

25

#### Walters - Brewer

sheet broken down for each day of the week." Are the time sheets that are being referred to here the time sheets that you kept for the crew? Yes. A They were not time sheets that you were required to keep for your hours, were they? A No. Let's go to paragraph 26, which is on the next page. And it talks about in essence here a corporate policy or practice of making partial-day deductions from your salary. Since you have been on salary, have you ever had your pay docked for taking part of a day off? Α No. Q Okay. Never took a day off. Α Okay. When you were off, though, on disability, we had that information in there already. Yes. Α So you have never needed to take a part of a day off, like today when you need to leave at 3:15 to get your children? I haven't got paid yet, don't know 23 whether I'm going to get docked or not. 24

> (302) 424-4541 FIRST STATE REPORTING SERVICE Pamela C. Washington, RPR Milford -- 19963 P.O. Box 99

So this is then from the time you

	1	became a salaried crew leader until today, you have
(	2	never taken part of a day off?
	3	A No.
	4	Q Well, if you're not docked for today,
	5	you wouldn't have been docked for a part of a day,
	6	were you?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q No, the answer is no, you wouldn't have
	9	been docked part of the day?
	10	A Okay, okay, if you say that one.
	11	Q Well, I'm telling you when you get your
	12	paycheck on Friday, you can certainly communicate with
( )	13	Mr. Martin and let him know whether you have had part
	1.4	of the day taken off.
	15	A Can't I call you?
	16	Q No, no, you can't. Not now, anyway.
	17	Maybe later. Paragraph 27 talks about having your pay
	18	reduced because of the quantity of work performed; has
	19	your pay ever been docked, as far as you know, because
	20	the quantity of your work wasn't up to par?
	21	A No.
	22	Q Okay. You said you didn't take any
	23	time off, so the last part of this charging time off
	24	from normal working hours against vacation or sick
	25	time, that's not happened to you?

```
1
     conversation?
 2
               Α
                    Not that I know of at the time, no.
 3
                            Outside of this conversation
               Q
                    Okay.
     with Mr. Lynch, were there any other conversations
 4
 5
     about this being harassed?
 6
               Α
                    No.
 7
                    So no one else had a conversation with
 8
     you about this?
 9
               Α
                    No.
10
                    Okay.
                           Do you consider the conversation
11
     you had with Mr. Lynch for harassment?
12
               Α
                    No.
13
                    Okay.
                           Go to paragraph 36. This says,
     "Many of the plaintiffs have been cornered by various
14
15
     management personnel and questioned individually
     regarding their discussions with counsel and the
16
     nature of this action." Have you been cornered by
17
     anybody from management?
18
19
               A
                    No.
20
                    Outside of Mr. Lynch's question to you,
21
     have you been questioned by anybody from management
22
     about this lawsuit?
23
               Α
                    No.
24
                    Okay. The next paragraph is 37, it
25
     talks about apparently a meeting between the
```

_		
1	plaintiffs and co	ounsel, Mr. Martin on a Saturday
2	morning, and tha	t plaintiffs recognized vehicle or
3	vehicles owned by	y the defendant upper management
4	personnel circli	ng the parking lot of a diner; do you
5	know anything ab	out that?
6	A Y	es.
7	Ω 0	kay, tell me what you know about that,
8	what did you see	?
9	АЈ	ust a vehicle from Mountaire.
10	Q W	ho was driving it?
11	A C	ould not recognize who was driving it.
12	Q A	nd what did you see? What did this
13	vehicle from Mou	ntaire do?
14	A I	t circled the parking lot as we were
15	standing out.	
16	Q S	o it drove into the parking lot?
17	Y A	es.
18	Q A	nd then drove back out of the parking
19	lot?	
20	Y A	es.
21	A Q	nd was that it?
22	A Y	es.
23	Q O	kay, you couldn't see who was driving?
24	A N	o.
25	Q	kay. And the diner that is being,

FIRST STATE REPORTING SERVICE (302) 424-4541
Pamela C. Washing\*
P.O. Box 99 Milford,

## Walters - Martin

	1	him?
	2	A Let me see now, I don't want to lie.
	3	Q Do you recall?
	4	A No.
	5	Q Do you recall who the identity was that
)	6	that person related to you?
	7	A No, I can't name position, position,
	8	position. The gentleman that is in charge of farm
	9	problems, that's the best of my recollection at the
	10	time. That's the gentleman related back to me was
	11	his position that he took care of things that go wrong
	12	as far as live haul was concerned.
	13	Q All right. Now, you're not referring
	14	to Mr. Lynch?
	15	A No.
	16	Q And you're not referring to Mr. Owen?
	17	A No.
	18	Q Do you know whether this gentleman may
	19	have worked for either one of these gentlemen?
	20	A Not that I can put a yes to.
	21	Q Okay. All right. Now, let me direct
	. 22	your attention to Exhibit 7, if you could put that in
	23	front of you again, please. Please take a moment,
	24	however much time you need, to read through that.
	25	A Uh-huh. Yes, I understand it.

1	then the person driving the car probably wasn't
2	working either, was he?
3	MR. MARTIN: Objection.
4	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know the
5	answer to that.
6	BY MR. BREWER:
7	Q You wouldn't know the answer to that
8	either?
9	A No.
10	Q Okay. Did you feel harassed by the
11	fact that this guy drove through the parking lot and
12	came out the other end?
1.3	A Not personally, no.
1.4	Q Okay. And you're sure it was a
15	Mountaire car?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Let's go through the warning that you
18	got. You're aware that the catchers filed a lawsuit
19	against the company, are you not?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. In fact, I met with you and a
22	number of other crew leaders about that, didn't I?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Sure. And you know the reason that
25	they had filed the lawsuit was that they were working